





APPLICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/060,907		01/29/2002	Hiroshi Ogino	FS.F5191US1C	1686
20995	7590	07/15/2003			, .
		NS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR				SWINEHART, EDWIN L	
IRVINE, C	RVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3617	
				DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

St
_
_

eant(s)
Group Art Unit
the correspondence address
NTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS inty (30) days will be considered timely. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
•
n as to the merits is closed in
is/are pending in the application.
is/are withdrawn from consideration.
is/are allowed.
is/are rejected.
is/are objected to.
are subject to restriction or election
requirement.
pproved.
FF. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
en
7.2(a)).
v Summary, PTO-413
of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15
<b>+</b> F
0

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,907

Art Unit: 3617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claim 24 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,341,991. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all of the limitations now claimed are within the Patented claims, and therefore the present claims are not patentable thereover.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,907

Art Unit: 3617

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 16-19,24 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mondek.

Mondek et al. teaches an outboard motor including a tubular steering shaft/kingpin 67, open at the bottom, since the shift mechanism passes therethrough, and a swivel bracket 55, as is old and well known in the art. Mondek et al. further teaches a cover means 117 and 119 for inhibiting the influx of water into the bottom end of the steering shaft, and inherently protecting the mounts 73 since such covers same. Element 70 is inherently flexible, as are all materials to some degree. The covers are affixed to each other at a lowermost portion, and have a portion slanting forwardly and downwardly as claimed.

- 5. Applicant provided no arguments re the applied reference to Mondek et al. requiring a response by the examiner.
- 6. Claims 20-23,25-28 and 30-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3617

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 8. Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 3600 by facsimile transmission. The submission of such papers by facsimile transmission must comply with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Fax Center number is (703)-872-9326.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed L. Swinehart whose telephone number is (703)-308-2566.
- 10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center 3600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-1113.

July 8, 2003

Ed L. Swinehart Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617